

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,056	06/14/2007	Ryou Terao	295031US3PCT	4621
OBLON SPIX	7590 05/17/201 /AK MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,056	TERAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER	1742	

	JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires the final SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FII	LED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply originates than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying the	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			DTOL 004)				
		npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Jeff Wollschlager/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1742					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendment to the claims would require further search and/or consideration. However, it is noted that it is not clear how the amendment as set forth limits the viscosity in the extuder to 3,000 pa-sec or less as raigued. Further, the examiner notes that the specification appears to support a limitation directed to a viscosity between 2,000 pa-sec and 3,000 pa-sec not less than 3,000 pa-sec as argued. If it is applicant's intent to limit the viscosity in the extruder by the amendment, the examiner submits such should be made explicit in the claims by claiming the viscosity directly with an accompanying argument directed to why the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed viscosity, as opposed to "favorable modability and uniform consistency" which, at first glance, appears to be open to a reasonable interpretation suggested by the art of record. In either event, the examiner submits the claims would require further search and/or consideration

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended, which have not been entered.